CLINICAL RESEARCH STUDY

Body Mass Index, Waist Circumference, Physical Activity, and Risk of Hearing Loss in Women

Sharon G. Curhan, MD, MSc,[a] Roland Eavey, MD, SM,[b] Molin Wang, PhD,[a,c,d] Meir J. Stampfer, MD, DrPH,[a,d] Gary C. Curhan, MD, ScD[a,d,e]

[a]Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Mass; [b]Vanderbilt Bill Wilkerson Center for Otolaryngology and Communication Sciences, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tenn; [c]Department of Biostatistics and [d]Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Mass; [e]Renal Division, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Mass.

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Acquired hearing loss is highly prevalent, but prospective data on potentially modifiable risk factors are limited. In cross-sectional studies, higher body mass index (BMI), larger waist circumference, and lower physical activity have been associated with poorer hearing, but these have not been examined prospectively.

METHODS: We examined the independent associations between BMI, waist circumference, and physical activity, and self-reported hearing loss in 68,421 women in the Nurses' Health Study II from 1989 to 2009. Baseline and updated information on BMI, waist circumference, and physical activity was obtained from biennial questionnaires.

RESULTS: After more than 1.1 million person-years of follow-up, 11,286 cases of hearing loss were reported to have occurred. Higher BMI and larger waist circumference were associated with increased risk of hearing loss. Compared with women with BMI <25 kg/m^2, the multivariate-adjusted relative risk (RR) for women with BMI ≥40 was 1.25 (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.14-1.37). Compared with women with waist circumference <71 cm, the multivariate-adjusted RR for waist circumference >88 cm was 1.27 (95% CI, 1.17-1.38). Higher physical activity was related inversely to risk; compared with women in the lowest quintile of physical activity, the multivariate-adjusted RR for women in the highest quintile was 0.83 (95% CI, 0.78-0.88). Walking 2 hours per week or more was associated inversely with risk. Simultaneous adjustment for BMI, waist circumference, and physical activity slightly attenuated the associations but they remained statistically significant.

CONCLUSIONS: Higher BMI and larger waist circumference are associated with increased risk, and higher physical activity is associated with reduced risk of hearing loss in women. These findings provide evidence that maintaining healthy weight and staying physically active, potentially modifiable lifestyle factors, may help reduce the risk of hearing loss.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. ● *The American Journal of Medicine* (2013) 126, 1142.e1-1142.e8

KEYWORDS: Body mass index; Epidemiology; Hearing loss; Physical activity; Prospective study; Waist circumference

Acquired hearing loss is a highly prevalent disabling chronic condition. In the US, it is estimated that up to 1/3 of women in their fifties and 2/3 of women in their sixties suffer from some degree of hearing loss.[1] Hearing loss can impair communication and social interaction, and adversely affect psychosocial well-being and quality of life.[2,3] Therefore, identification of potentially modifiable risk factors for hearing loss is a compelling public health goal.

Obesity and its comorbidities, cardiovascular disease,[1,4-6] cerebrovascular disease,[7,8] diabetes,[9,10] hypertension,[6,11] and dyslipidemia,[6] may be related to the development of hearing loss, potentially due to compromised vascular supply to the stria vascularis and impaired cochlear function. Obese leptin-deficient mice develop sensorineural hearing loss earlier than their wild-type counterparts.[12] In human cross-sectional studies, higher body mass index (BMI), a measure of overall obesity, and larger waist circumference, a measure of central adiposity, have been associated with poorer hearing thresholds.[13-15] However, the relation between obesity and hearing loss has not been examined prospectively.

Higher levels of physical activity may protect against hearing loss. Physical activity may have beneficial effects on the cochlear vascular endothelium, enhance detoxification of free radicals, and reduce inflammation. Small cross-sectional studies have reported relations between higher levels of physical activity, higher cardiorespiratory fitness, and better hearing sensitivity.[16,17] A number of conditions implicated in hearing loss, such as diabetes and cardiovascular disease, are associated inversely with higher levels of physical activity.[18,19] Yet, it is unclear whether physical activity is an independent risk factor for hearing loss.

We prospectively analyzed the association between these potentially modifiable factors and the risk of hearing loss in 68,421 female participants in the Nurses' Health Study II (NHS II).

METHODS

Study Participants

The Nurses' Health Study II is comprised of 116,430 female registered nurses aged 25-42 years from 14 states who answered a mailed questionnaire in 1989. Questionnaires were administered every other year and the average follow-up rate over 22 years exceeds 90%. The questionnaires elicited information on anthropometric measures, lifestyle factors, medication use, and medical conditions. Detailed information on diet was obtained every 4 years. The 2009 questionnaire asked participants whether they have a hearing problem and at what age a change in hearing was first noticed. We excluded women who reported a hearing problem (n = 2530) or cancer (n = 654) that began before 1989. We also excluded women who developed cancer during follow-up and before the onset of hearing loss (n = 4118). To refine the categorization of hearing "problem" as hearing "loss," we excluded participants who reported tinnitus that occurred more than once/week at

baseline or during follow-up and before the onset of hearing loss (n = 6490), because tinnitus is a strong risk factor for hearing loss.[20-22] We performed secondary analyses that included these women and stratified by tinnitus. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Brigham and Women's Hospital.

CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

- Higher body mass index and larger waist circumference may increase the risk of hearing loss in women.
- Higher levels of physical activity may reduce the risk of hearing loss in women.
- Walking 2 hours per week or more may reduce the risk of hearing loss in women.

Assessment of BMI

BMI was calculated based on height, reported at study entry in 1989, and weight, reported on each biennial questionnaire, and these measurements were used to calculate biennially updated BMI. In a validation study, self-reported height and weight and direct measurements were highly correlated (height, $r = 0.94$ and weight, $r = 0.96$)[23,24] in a similar cohort of women.

Assessment of Waist Circumference

Waist circumference was assessed at 2 time points, in 1993 and 2005. Participants were sent a measuring tape and asked to report their waist circumference to the nearest ¼ inch. Self-measured waist circumference was found to be correlated with technician-measured waist circumference measurements ($r = 0.89$).[25] Information on waist circumference was available for 50% of study participants.

Assessment of Physical Activity

Information on physical activity was first collected in 1989 and again in 1991, 1997, 2001, 2005, and 2009. Participants completed a physical activity grid, modified slightly on successive questionnaires, and reported average time spent per week in the previous year in a variety of recreational activities: walking for exercise, walking to work, hiking outdoors, jogging (>10 min/mile), running (≤10 min/mile), bicycling (including stationary machine), calisthenics/aerobics/aerobic dance/rowing machine, tennis, squash, racquetball, lap swimming, other aerobic activity (eg, aerobics, dance, ski/stair machine), lower-intensity exercise (eg, yoga, stretching, toning), other vigorous activities, weight training, or resistance exercise. Participants also reported flights of stairs climbed daily. Energy expenditure in metabolic-equivalent tasks (METs) in hours/week[26] was calculated using the Ainsworth classification.[27] One MET is the amount of energy expended while sitting quietly, hence an activity with MET score of 5 requires 5 times the energy as sitting quietly. Total physical activity was derived from the reported hours spent per week in recreational activities plus the reported number flights of stairs climbed daily. Walking pace was used to determine the MET score for calculating MET h/week from walking.[28] The reproducibility and validity of the physical activity questionnaire

have been demonstrated in a representative sample of 147 NHS II participants. The questionnaire-based estimate of physical activity was highly correlated with 1-week recall ($r = 0.79$) and 4 1-week diaries completed over the course of 1 year ($r = 0.62$).[29]

Assessment of Hearing Loss

The primary outcome, self-reported hearing loss, was determined based on responses to the 2009 question "Do you have a hearing problem?" with response categories: "no," "mild," "moderate," or "severe," and, "If so, at what age did you first notice a change in your hearing?" Of the 80,096 women who responded to this questionnaire, 23.3% reported a hearing problem.

We defined an incident case as hearing problem first noticed after 1989. We excluded those who reported tinnitus; therefore, we considered the remaining cases of self-reported hearing problem to be hearing loss. Although hearing loss is usually insidious in onset, we defined incident cases as hearing loss when first noticed by the participant. We did not have information on severity of hearing loss at the time of onset, thus we were not able to perform prospective analyses that considered severity of hearing loss as the outcome. Pure-tone audiometry is considered the gold standard for hearing loss evaluation, but cost and logistic limitations precluded such assessment in this large population. Studies that assessed the reliability of self-reported hearing loss using a single question found this method to be a reasonably reliable measure.[30-32] A recent validation study of individuals aged 30-65 years evaluated the performance of a single question as compared with results from pure-tone audiometry and found the sensitivity and specificity were 79.6% and 77.4%, respectively.[33]

Assessment of Covariates

We considered covariates purported to be risk factors for hearing loss, including age,[1] race,[1] smoking,[34] intake of alcohol,[34] folate,[35] vitamin B12,[36] magnesium,[37] potassium, vitamin A,[38] hypertension,[6] diabetes,[9] acetaminophen use, and ibuprofen use.[39] Covariate information was obtained from the biennial questionnaires. Intakes of alcohol, folate, B12, potassium, magnesium, and vitamin A were calculated from semiquantitative food frequency questionnaires mailed first in 1991 and every 4 years thereafter. Beginning in 1995 and every 2 years thereafter, participants were asked about average frequency of use of analgesics.

Statistical Analysis

All analyses were prospective, using exposure information collected before the reported onset of the hearing problem. BMI was calculated and categorized as <25, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, and 40+, which conform with World Health Organization categories for healthy weight (BMI <25), pre-obese (BMI 25-29), obese class I (30-34), obese class II (35-39), and obese class III (BMI 40+). Waist circumference was

Table 1 Baseline Characteristics of Nurses' Health Study II Women

Characteristic	N = 68,421
Age, years	34.5 (4.6)
Caucasian, %	93.1
Body mass index, kg/m²	22.6 (20.7-25.6)
Waist circumference, cm	73.7 (68.6-83.8)
Physical activity, METs*/week	13.9 (5.4-30.2)
Never smoker, %	66.7
Past smoker, %	21.3
Current smoker, %	11.6
Alcohol, g/d	0.9 (0.0-3.50)
Vitamin B12, µg/d	7.0 (5.0-11.0)
Folate, µg/d	372 (280-609)
Potassium, mg/d	2940 (533)
Magnesium, mg/d	316 (74)
Vitamin A, IU/d	11,113 (7702-15,722)
History of hypertension, %	5.0
History of diabetes, %	0.6
Ibuprofen use†, %	18.3
Acetaminophen use†, %	20.6
Walking, %	
<1 h/week	41.9
1-1.5 h/week	24.8
2-3 h/week	17.0
4+ h/week	16.3

Values are means (SD), medians (interquartile range), or percentages.
Dietary factors assessed in 1991.
Waist circumference assessed in 1993.
Ibuprofen and acetaminophen use assessed in 1995.
*Metabolic equivalent tasks (MET) from recreational and leisure-time activities.
†Regular use 2+ times per week.

categorized according to previously used cutoff points: <71 cm, 71-79 cm, 80-88 cm, and >88 cm.[40] Physical activity, expressed as METs/week, was categorized in quintiles. Intensity of physical activity was categorized as strenuous (activities with a MET value >6) and moderate (activities with a MET value of 3.5-6). Hours per week of walking was categorized into 4 categories: <1 h/week, 1-1.5 h/week, 2-4 h/week, and 4+ h/week, in a way that is consistent with previous examinations of the relation between walking and other health outcomes in this cohort.[41,42]

For each participant, person-time was allocated based on the most recent responses to the weight, waist circumference, and physical activity questions at the beginning of each follow-up period. Participants were censored at the age of reported hearing loss or cancer, whichever came first. Missing categories were created for data on covariates that were not available. Age- and multivariate-adjusted relative risks (RRs) were estimated using Cox proportional hazards regression models, with the Anderson-Gill data structure.[43] To control as finely as possible for confounding by age, calendar time, and any possible 2-way interactions between these 2 time scales, we stratified the analysis jointly by age

in months at start of follow-up and calendar year of the current questionnaire cycle. To examine whether the associations vary by age, we performed likelihood ratio tests comparing models with and without the age*exposure interaction terms.

For all RRs, we calculated 95% confidence intervals (CIs). All *P* values are 2-tailed. Tests of linear trend across increasing categories of exposure were conducted by treating the BMI, waist circumference, and physical activity categories as continuous variables and assigning the median value for each category. All analyses were performed using SAS statistical software, version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Characteristics of participants at baseline are summarized in **Table 1**. The mean age was 34.5 years, the median BMI was 22.6 kg/m^2 (interquartile range [IQR] 20.7-25.6), the median waist circumference in 1993 was 73.7 cm (IQR 68.6-83.8), and the median level of physical activity was 13.9 METs/week (IQR 5.4-30.2). Walking for exercise 1 h/week or more was reported by 58% of the women. Participants who answered the 2009 main questionnaire, on which hearing loss was first assessed, did not differ appreciably with respect to baseline characteristics from those participants who did not.

After 1,159,460 person-years of follow-up, 11,286 cases of hearing loss were reported to have occurred. The age- and multivariate-adjusted RRs of hearing loss according to category of BMI are presented in **Table 2**. Higher BMI was associated independently with an increased risk of hearing loss. In a multivariate-adjusted model that did not include physical activity, compared with women with BMI <25, the RR for hearing loss in those with BMI ≥40 was 1.25 (95% CI, 1.14-1.37; *P*-trend <.001). Further adjusting for physical activity and for waist circumference slightly attenuated

the association; however, a BMI of 25 or greater remained independently associated with risk of hearing loss.

Larger waist circumference also was associated independently with an increased risk of hearing loss (**Table 3**). In a multivariate-adjusted model that did not include BMI or physical activity, compared with women with waist circumference <71 cm, the RR for hearing loss in those with waist circumference >88 cm was 1.27 (95% CI, 1.17-1.38; *P*-trend <.001). Further adjusting for physical activity and for BMI attenuated the association; however, waist circumference >88 cm remained associated independently with risk of hearing loss (multivariate-adjusted RR = 1.17; 95% CI, 1.06-1.29).

Higher level of physical activity was associated inversely with the risk of hearing loss (**Table 4**). In a multivariate model that did not include BMI or waist circumference, the RR for hearing loss for women in the highest quintile compared with the lowest quintile of physical activity was 0.83 (95% CI, 0.78-0.88; *P*-trend <.001). Further adjusting for BMI slightly attenuated the association (multivariate-adjusted RR = 0.86; 95% CI, 0.81-0.92).

Walking was the most common form of physical activity; therefore, we examined the relation between walking and risk of hearing loss. After multivariate adjustment, walking 2 or more hours/week was associated with lower risk of hearing loss (*P*-trend <.001) (**Table 5**). For example, compared with women who walked <1 h/week, the multivariate-adjusted RR of hearing loss for women who walked 4 or more hours per week was 0.85 (95% CI, 0.80-0.91).

We examined the relation between strenuous physical activity, moderate physical activity, walking, and risk of hearing loss. We did not observe additional reduction in risk with more strenuous exercise. Similarly, when we examined the relation between walking, jogging, and running and risk of hearing loss, there was no additional reduction in risk with jogging or running (data not shown).

Table 2   Body Mass Index and Risk of Self-reported Hearing Loss among Women in the Nurses' Health Study II (1989-2009)

| | Categories of Body Mass Index (kg/m^2) | | | | | |
	<25	25-29	30-34	35-39	40+	*P*-Trend
Cases	4899	3059	1577	762	535	
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	1.14 (1.09-1.19)	1.21 (1.14-1.28)	1.29 (1.19-1.39)	1.34 (1.23-1.47)	<.001
Multivariate-adjusted* RR (95% CI) (without PA or WC)	1.00 (ref)	1.12 (1.07-1.17)	1.17 (1.10-1.24)	1.22 (1.12-1.31)	1.25 (1.14-1.37)	<.001
Multivariate-adjusted† RR (95% CI) (with PA)	1.00 (ref)	1.11 (1.06-1.16)	1.14 (1.08-1.21)	1.18 (1.09-1.28)	1.21 (1.10-1.32)	<.001
Multivariate-adjusted‡ RR (95% CI) (with PA and WC)	1.00 (ref)	1.08 (1.03-1.14)	1.11 (1.03-1.18)	1.16 (1.06-1.26)	1.19 (1.07-1.32)	<.001

CI = confidence interval; RR = relative risk.

Information on WC was first obtained in 1993. Information on analgesic use was first obtained in 1995.

*Adjusted for age, race, smoking, alcohol intake, intake of B12, magnesium, potassium, vitamin A, and folate, baseline hypertension, baseline diabetes, acetaminophen use, and ibuprofen use.

†Adjusted for all of the above, as well as for physical activity (PA).

‡Adjusted for all of the above, as well as for physical activity (PA) and waist circumference (WC).

Table 3 Waist Circumference and Risk of Self-reported Hearing Loss Among Women in the Nurses' Health Study II (1993-2009)

| | Categories of Waist Circumference (cm) | | | | |
	<71	71-79	80-88	>88	P-Trend
Cases	777	2160	1949	2866	
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	1.16 (1.07-1.26)	1.24 (1.14-1.35)	1.48 (1.36-1.61)	<.001
Multivariate-adjusted* RR (95% CI) (without BMI or PA)	1.00 (ref)	1.07 (0.99-1.16)	1.11 (1.02-1.21)	1.27 (1.17-1.38)	<.001
Multivariate-adjusted† RR (95% CI) (with PA)	1.00 (ref)	1.07 (0.98-1.16)	1.09 (1.00-1.19)	1.23 (1.14-1.34)	<.001
Multivariate-adjusted‡ RR (95% CI) (with BMI and PA)	1.00 (ref)	1.05 (0.97-1.14)	1.05 (0.95-1.15)	1.17 (1.06-1.29)	.001

CI = confidence interval; RR = relative risk.
Information on waist circumference was first obtained in 1993. Information on analgesic use was first obtained in 1995.
*Adjusted for: age, race, smoking, alcohol intake, intake of B12, magnesium, potassium, vitamin A, and folate, baseline hypertension, baseline diabetes, acetaminophen use, and ibuprofen use.
†Adjusted for all of the above as well as for physical activity (PA).
‡Adjusted for all of the above as well as for body mass index (BMI) and physical activity (PA).

The observed relations between BMI, waist circumference, physical activity, and hearing loss did not vary by age (P-interaction >.20 for global interaction with age for each exposure). In addition, the results were materially unchanged when relevant covariates were included as continuous rather than categorical (data not shown). We also performed analyses that did not exclude women with tinnitus and the results were not materially changed (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

In this large prospective study of women, higher BMI and larger waist circumference were associated independently with an increased risk of hearing loss. The risk decreased with increasing level of physical activity; even walking 2 hours or more per week was associated with a lower risk. These findings may provide insight into potential mechanisms that underlie acquired hearing loss and suggest possible strategies for prevention.

This prospective study confirms previous cross-sectional findings of an association between obesity and increased prevalence of hearing loss. Higher BMI was associated with poorer hearing sensitivities in a multinational European study[14] and the Health ABC Study.[13] Moreover, our findings that larger waist circumference was associated independently with increased risk of hearing loss even after adjusting for BMI, similar to previous cross-sectional findings,[15] suggest that waist circumference provides additional information about risk beyond BMI and that central adiposity may itself be a risk factor for hearing loss.

This study also provides prospective evidence consistent with cross-sectional studies that reported higher levels of cardiorespiratory fitness associated with better hearing sensitivities.[16] Our findings suggest that walking 2 hours or more per week may reduce risk of hearing loss. Adjustment for BMI and waist circumference only modestly attenuated the association, suggesting the association is not primarily mediated through effects of physical activity on adiposity.

Mechanisms that may underlie the relation between obesity, physical activity, and hearing function include hypoxia and ischemic damage, oxidative stress, and

Table 4 Physical Activity and Risk of Self-reported Hearing Loss Among Women In the Nurses' Health Study II (1989-2009)

| | Physical Activity (METs/week) Quintiles | | | | | |
	1	2	3	4	5	P-Trend
1989 METs/week, median (range)*	1.9 (<4.0)	6.5 (4.0-9.6)	13.6 (9.7-18.9)	25.4 (19.0-36.5)	56.7 (36.6+)	
Cases	2264	2233	2278	2036	1923	
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.96 (0.90-1.01)	0.95 (0.90-1.01)	0.85 (0.80-0.90)	0.83 (0.78-0.88)	<.001
Multivariate-adjusted† RR (95% CI) (without BMI)	1.00 (ref)	0.95 (0.90-1.01)	0.95 (0.90-1.01)	0.86 (0.81-0.91)	0.83 (0.78-0.88)	<.001
Multivariate-adjusted‡ RR (95% CI) (with BMI)	1.00 (ref)	0.96 (0.91-1.02)	0.97 (0.91-1.03)	0.88 (0.83-0.93)	0.86 (0.81-0.92)	<.001

CI = confidence interval; RR = relative risk.
Information on waist circumference was first obtained in 1993. Information on analgesic use was first obtained in 1995.
*1989 values are presented to be representative, however, physical activity was updated in the analyses.
†Adjusted for: age, race, smoking, alcohol intake, intake of B12, magnesium, potassium, vitamin A, and folate, baseline hypertension, baseline diabetes, acetaminophen use, and ibuprofen use.
‡Adjusted for all of the above as well as for body mass index (BMI).

Table 5   Walking and Risk of Self-reported Hearing Loss among Women in the Nurses' Health Study II (1989-2009)

	Walking (h/week)				P-Trend
	<1	1-1.5	2-3	4+	
Cases	4672	2551	1839	1305	
Multivariate-adjusted* RR (95% CI) (without BMI)	1.00 (ref)	0.98 (0.94-1.03)	0.90 (0.85-0.95)	0.85 (0.80-0.91)	<.001
Multivariate-adjusted† RR (95% CI) (with BMI)	1.00 (ref)	0.99 (0.94-1.03)	0.92 (0.87-0.97)	0.87 (0.81-0.92)	<.001

CI = confidence interval; RR = relative risk.

Information on waist circumference was first obtained in 1993. Information on analgesic use was first obtained in 1995.

*Adjusted for: age, race, smoking, alcohol intake, intake of B12, magnesium, potassium, vitamin A, and folate, baseline hypertension, baseline diabetes, acetaminophen use, and ibuprofen use.

†Adjusted for all of the above as well as for body mass index (BMI).

formation of reactive species, and resultant death of cochlear and spiral ganglion cells that leads to hearing loss. The Beaver Dam Offspring Study found cross-sectional associations between larger central retinal venular equivalent, an indicator of microvascular inflammation and endothelial dysfunction, and carotid intima media thickness, a subclinical measure of macrovascular atherosclerotic disease, and poorer hearing thresholds.[5] Obesity-related atherosclerosis may lead to stiffening and constriction of the internal auditory artery and reduction in cochlear blood flow.[44] Reduced blood supply to the cochlea, whether due to microvascular or macrovascular compromise, can lead to capillary constriction within the stria vascularis, cell death, and poorer hearing sensitivity.[4,6,45,46]

Oxidative stress also has been implicated in acquired hearing loss.[47,48] Antioxidants may prevent hearing loss due to exposure to ototoxic drugs or noise.[49] Animal studies of cochlear changes that accompany age-related hearing loss demonstrate decreased antioxidant activity[50] and a decline in the ability to protect against free radical damage. Obesity-related oxidative stress and resultant damage to the auditory epithelia due to accumulation of reactive oxidative species may contribute to hearing loss.[51]

Our findings suggest that larger waist circumference, a marker of central adiposity,[52] may increase the risk of hearing loss, beyond higher BMI. Greater central fat mass is associated with dyslipidemia, hypertension, and hypercoagulability.[53] Low adiponectin plasma concentrations related to larger waist circumference may increase risk of hearing loss.[54]

Higher levels of physical activity or better cardiorespiratory fitness may protect against hearing loss by improving vascular supply to the cochlea,[55] preventing auditory neurodegeneration and neurotransmitter loss,[56] and possibly moderating noise-induced damage.[57] Higher levels of physical activity also are related inversely to a number of purported risk factors for hearing loss, including diabetes, vascular dysfunction, and cardiovascular disease.[18,19,58] Physical activity may improve endothelial function, leading to endothelium-mediated arterial vasodilation and enhanced blood flow to the stria vascularis.[55] Physical activity increases endothelial nitric oxide formation and improves endothelium-dependent vasodilation of cardiac and renal vasculature[58,59] and could have similar protective effects on cochlear vasculature. Even moderate levels of physical activity can improve cardiorespiratory fitness.[60] Higher cardiorespiratory fitness may be associated with protection against hypertension in the internal auditory artery and neuroprotective effects in the central nervous system, such as preservation of central auditory processing, attenuation of aging-related neurotransmitter loss, increased brain-derived neurotrophic factor expression, and increased resistance to auditory neurodegeneration.[61] Higher levels of physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness also may enhance resistance to noise-induced auditory damage.[57,62]

Strengths of this study include its prospective design, large sample size, high follow-up rate, and validated methods to quantify BMI, waist circumference, and physical activity. The study population was relatively homogeneous with respect to education and occupation, reducing variability in those factors.[63] Limitations include assessment of hearing loss based on self-report. Although sudden sensorineural hearing loss occurs, hearing loss is commonly insidious in onset, thus, imprecision in the assessment of date of onset exists. We defined incident hearing loss as when a hearing problem was first noticed by the participant. Standard pure-tone audiometry is the gold standard measure for evaluation of hearing loss; however, assessment of hearing loss based on self-report has been found to be reasonably reliable.[30,31,33,64] Assessment of hearing loss was based on participant report in 2009 regarding date of onset. All information on exposures and covariates was collected before reported date of hearing loss onset; therefore, the relations were examined prospectively. We did not have information on hearing loss severity at the time of onset, thus, severity of hearing loss could not be considered. Although some participants were lost to follow-up since the inception of this cohort or did not answer the 2009 questionnaire, the baseline characteristics of participants who did and did not answer the 2009 questionnaire did not appreciably differ. Our study was limited to predominantly non-Hispanic white women, thus, further research in additional populations is warranted.

CONCLUSION

Higher body mass index and larger waist circumference are associated with an increased risk, and higher level of physical activity is associated with a decreased risk of hearing loss in women.

References

1. Agrawal Y, Platz EA, Niparko JK. Prevalence of hearing loss and differences by demographic characteristics among US adults: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999-2004. *Arch Intern Med*. 2008;168(14):1522-1530.
2. Cacciatore F, Napoli C, Abete P, Marciano E, Triassi M, Rengo F. Quality of life determinants and hearing function in an elderly population: Osservatorio Geriatrico Campano Study Group. *Gerontology*. 1999;45(6):323-328.
3. Mulrow CD, Aguilar C, Endicott JE, et al. Association between hearing impairment and the quality of life of elderly individuals. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 1990;38(1):45-50.
4. Torre P 3rd, Cruickshanks KJ, Klein BE, Klein R, Nondahl DM. The association between cardiovascular disease and cochlear function in older adults. *J Speech Lang Hear Res*. 2005;48(2):473-481.
5. Nash SD, Cruickshanks KJ, Klein R, et al. The prevalence of hearing impairment and associated risk factors: the Beaver Dam Offspring Study. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2011;137(5):432-439.
6. Gates GA, Cobb JL, D'Agostino RB, Wolf PA. The relation of hearing in the elderly to the presence of cardiovascular disease and cardiovascular risk factors. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 1993;119(2):156-161.
7. Kiely KM, Gopinath B, Mitchell P, Luszcz M, Anstey KJ. Cognitive, health, and sociodemographic predictors of longitudinal decline in hearing acuity among older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2012;67(9):997-1003.
8. Helzner EP, Cauley JA, Pratt SR, et al. Race and sex differences in age-related hearing loss: the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2005;53(12):2119-2127.
9. Bainbridge KE, Hoffman HJ, Cowie CC. Diabetes and hearing impairment in the United States: audiometric evidence from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999 to 2004. *Ann Intern Med*. 2008;149(1):1-10.
10. Kakarlapudi V, Sawyer R, Staecker H. The effect of diabetes on sensorineural hearing loss. *Otol Neurotol*. 2003;24(3):382-386.
11. Brant LJ, Gordon-Salant S, Pearson JD, et al. Risk factors related to age-associated hearing loss in the speech frequencies. *J Am Acad Audiol*. 1996;7(3):152-160.
12. Lee HS, Kim KR, Chung WH, Cho YS, Hong SH. Early sensorineural hearing loss in ob/ob mouse, an animal model of type 2 diabetes. *Clin Exp Otorhinolaryngol*. 2008;1(4):211-216.
13. Helzner EP, Patel AS, Pratt S, et al. Hearing sensitivity in older adults: associations with cardiovascular risk factors in the health, aging and body composition study. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2011;59(6):972-979.
14. Fransen E, Topsakal V, Hendrickx JJ, et al. Occupational noise, smoking, and a high body mass index are risk factors for age-related hearing impairment and moderate alcohol consumption is protective: a European population-based multicenter study. *J Assoc Res Otolaryngol*. 2008;9(3):264-276; discussion 261-263.
15. Hwang JH, Wu CC, Hsu CJ, Liu TC, Yang WS. Association of central obesity with the severity and audiometric configurations of age-related hearing impairment. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2009;17(9):1796-1801.
16. Hutchinson KM, Alessio H, Baiduc RR. Association between cardiovascular health and hearing function: pure-tone and distortion product otoacoustic emission measures. *Am J Audiol*. 2010;19(1):26-35.
17. Loprinzi PD, Cardinal BJ, Gilham B. Association between cardiorespiratory fitness and hearing sensitivity. *Am J Audiol*. 2012;21(1):33-40.
18. Hu FB, Sigal RJ, Rich-Edwards JW, et al. Walking compared with vigorous physical activity and risk of type 2 diabetes in women: a prospective study. *JAMA*. 1999;282(15):1433-1439.
19. Manson JE, Hu FB, Rich-Edwards JW, et al. A prospective study of walking as compared with vigorous exercise in the prevention of coronary heart disease in women. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341(9):650-658.
20. Henry JA, Dennis KC, Schechter MA. General review of tinnitus: prevalence, mechanisms, effects, and management. *J Speech Lang Hear Res*. 2005;48(5):1204-1235.
21. Nondahl DM, Cruickshanks KJ, Wiley TL, Klein R, Klein BE, Tweed TS. Prevalence and 5-year incidence of tinnitus among older adults: the epidemiology of hearing loss study. *J Am Acad Audiol*. 2002;13(6):323-331.
22. Hasson D, Theorell T, Westerlund H, Canlon B. Prevalence and characteristics of hearing problems in a working and non-working Swedish population. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2010;64(5):453-460.
23. Willett W, Stampfer MJ, Bain C, et al. Cigarette smoking, relative weight, and menopause. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1983;117(6):651-658.
24. Troy LM, Hunter DJ, Manson JE, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC. The validity of recalled weight among younger women. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1995;19(8):570-572.
25. Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Chute CG, Litin LB, Willett WC. Validity of self-reported waist and hip circumferences in men and women. *Epidemiology*. 1990;1(6):466-473.
26. Rockhill B, Willett WC, Manson JE, et al. Physical activity and mortality: a prospective study among women. *Am J Public Health*. 2001;91(4):578-583.
27. Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Herrmann SD, et al. 2011 Compendium of Physical Activities: a second update of codes and MET values. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2011;43(8):1575-1581.
28. Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Leon AS, et al. Compendium of physical activities: classification of energy costs of human physical activities. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1993;25(1):71-80.
29. Wolf AM, Hunter DJ, Colditz GA, et al. Reproducibility and validity of a self-administered physical activity questionnaire. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1994;23(5):991-999.
30. Gomez MI, Hwang SA, Sobotova L, Stark AD, May JJ. A comparison of self-reported hearing loss and audiometry in a cohort of New York farmers. *J Speech Lang Hear Res*. 2001;44(6):1201-1208.
31. Schow RL, Gatehouse S. Fundamental issues in self-assessment of hearing. *Ear Hear*. 1990;11(5 Suppl):6S-16S.
32. Sindhusake D, Mitchell P, Newall P, Golding M, Rochtchina E, Rubin G. Prevalence and characteristics of tinnitus in older adults: the Blue Mountains Hearing Study. *Int J Audiol*. 2003;42(5):289-294.
33. Ferrite S, Santana VS, Marshall SW. Validity of self-reported hearing loss in adults: performance of three single questions. *Rev Saude Publica*. 2011;45(5):824-830.
34. Itoh A, Nakashima T, Arao H, et al. Smoking and drinking habits as risk factors for hearing loss in the elderly: epidemiological study of subjects undergoing routine health checks in Aichi, Japan. *Public Health*. 2001;115(3):192-196.
35. Durga J, Verhoef P, Anteunis LJ, Schouten E, Kok FJ. Effects of folic acid supplementation on hearing in older adults: a randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2007;146(1):1-9.
36. Houston DK, Johnson MA, Nozza RJ, et al. Age-related hearing loss, vitamin B-12, and folate in elderly women. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1999;69(3):564-571.
37. Haupt H, Scheibe F, Mazurek B. Therapeutic efficacy of magnesium in acoustic trauma in the guinea pig. *ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec*. 2003;65(3):134-139.
38. Le Prell CG, Hughes LF, Miller JM. Free radical scavengers vitamins A, C, and E plus magnesium reduce noise trauma. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2007;42(9):1454-1463.
39. Curhan S, Shargorodsky J, Eavey R, Curhan G. Analgesic use and the risk of hearing loss in women. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2012;176(6):544-554.
40. Flint AJ, Rexrode KM, Hu FB, et al. Body mass index, waist circumference, and risk of coronary heart disease: a prospective study among men and women. *Obes Res Clin Pract*. 2010;4(3):e171-e181.
41. Feskanich D, Willett W, Colditz G. Walking and leisure-time activity and risk of hip fracture in postmenopausal women. *JAMA*. 2002;288(18):2300-2306.

42. Maruti SS, Willett WC, Feskanich D, Rosner B, Colditz GA. A prospective study of age-specific physical activity and premenopausal breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2008;100(10):728-737.

43. Therneau TM. *Extending the Cox Model*. 2nd ed. New York: Springer Verlag; 1997.

44. Makishima K. Arteriolar sclerosis as a cause of presbycusis. *Otolaryngology*. 1978;86(2):ORL322-ORL326.

45. Liew G, Wong TY, Mitchell P, Newall P, Smith W, Wang JJ. Retinal microvascular abnormalities and age-related hearing loss: the Blue Mountains hearing study. *Ear Hear*. 2007;28(3):394-401.

46. Seidman MD, Quirk WS, Shirwany NA. Mechanisms of alterations in the microcirculation of the cochlea. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 1999;884: 226-232.

47. Poirrier AL, Pincemail J, Van Den Ackerveken P, Lefebvre PP, Malgrange B. Oxidative stress in the cochlea: an update. *Curr Med Chem*. 2010;17(30):3591-3604.

48. Seidman MD, Ahmad N, Joshi D, Seidman J, Thawani S, Quirk WS. Age-related hearing loss and its association with reactive oxygen species and mitochondrial DNA damage. *Acta Otolaryngol Suppl*. 2004;552:16-24.

49. Hight NG, McFadden SL, Henderson D, Burkard RF, Nicotera T. Noise-induced hearing loss in chinchillas pre-treated with glutathione monoethylester and R-PIA. *Hear Res*. 2003;179(1-2): 21-32.

50. Menardo J, Tang Y, Ladrech S, et al. Oxidative stress, inflammation, and autophagic stress as the key mechanisms of premature age-related hearing loss in SAMP8 mouse Cochlea. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2012;16(3):263-274.

51. Loffredo L, Martino F, Carnevale R, et al. Obesity and hypercholesterolemia are associated with NOX2 generated oxidative stress and arterial dysfunction. *J Pediatr*. 2012;161(6):1004-1009.

52. Ketel IJ, Volman MN, Seidell JC, Stehouwer CD, Twisk JW, Lambalk CB. Superiority of skinfold measurements and waist over waist-to-hip ratio for determination of body fat distribution in a population-based cohort of Caucasian Dutch adults. *Eur J Endocrinol*. 2007;156(6):655-661.

53. Pou KM, Massaro JM, Hoffmann U, et al. Visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue volumes are cross-sectionally related to markers of inflammation and oxidative stress: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 2007;116(11):1234-1241.

54. Hwang JH, Hsu CJ, Liu TC, Yang WS. Association of plasma adiponectin levels with hearing thresholds in adults. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2011;75(5):614-620.

55. Gielen S, Schuler G, Adams V. Cardiovascular effects of exercise training: molecular mechanisms. *Circulation*. 2010;122(12): 1221-1238.

56. Kramer AF, Hahn S, Cohen NJ, et al. Ageing, fitness and neurocognitive function. *Nature*. 1999;400(6743):418-419.

57. Manson J, Alessio HM, Cristell M, Hutchinson KM. Does cardiovascular health mediate hearing ability? *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1994;26(7): 866-871.

58. Hambrecht R, Wolf A, Gielen S, et al. Effect of exercise on coronary endothelial function in patients with coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;342(7):454-460.

59. Robinson ES, Fisher ND, Forman JP, Curhan GC. Physical activity and albuminuria. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2010;171(5):515-521.

60. Stofan JR, DiPietro L, Davis D, Kohl HW 3rd, Blair SN. Physical activity patterns associated with cardiorespiratory fitness and reduced mortality: the Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study. *Am J Public Health*. 1998;88(12):1807-1813.

61. Seifert T, Brassard P, Wissenberg M, et al. Endurance training enhances BDNF release from the human brain. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2010;298(2):R372-R377.

62. Kolkhorst FW, Smaldino JJ, Wolf SC, et al. Influence of fitness on susceptibility to noise-induced temporary threshold shift. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1998;30(2):289-293.

63. Cruickshanks KJ, Nondahl DM, Tweed TS, et al. Education, occupation, noise exposure history and the 10-yr cumulative incidence of hearing impairment in older adults. *Hear Res*. 2010;264(1-2):3-9.

64. Coren S, Hakstian AR. The development and cross-validation of a self-report inventory to assess pure-tone threshold hearing sensitivity. *J Speech Hear Res*. 1992;35(4):921-928.